

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/752,263	12/28/2000	David S. Bormann	42390.P9734	1227	
7590 10/27/2003			EXAMINER		
John P. Ward			DU, THUAN N		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7th Floor			ARI ONII	1 AT EK NUMBEK	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2185	_	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.		Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summary			63		BORMANN ET AL.				
			<u> </u>		Art Unit	_			
		Thuan N.	Du		2185				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on th	e cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence address	_			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R	DEDIVIC CET T	.O EVI	DIDE 2 MONTH/	e) EDOM				
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI resistance of 37 C resistance of the may be available under the provisions of 37 C resistance of 37 C resistance of the same of 37 C resistance of the same of the sa	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. , a reply within the state period will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, howe utory mir ill expire dication to	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	December to communication (a) filed a	00.0	0000						
1)⊠									
2a)[_ 2\□		This action is							
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	cation.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equire	ment.					
_	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Exa								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			_					
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _				/ed by the Examiner.				
12\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required The oath or declaration is objected to by th	• •	тісе ас	tion.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ie Examiliei.							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	rojan nrjarity ur	dor 26	5115 C	(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reign phonty ur	idei 3t	0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
a).	, — , — , —	mente have hee	n roco	ivod					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority u	nder 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	(to a provisional application).				
) The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do								
Attachmen		,,		99 . 29					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No		4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/752,263

Art Unit: 2185

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 09/752,062. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the invention can be applied for both serial ATA device and parallel ATA device.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/752,263 Page 3

Art Unit: 2185

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Regarding claims 1, 7 and 12, the step of "switching a serial Advanced Technology Attachment (SATA) device" is not clearly understandable because it does not clearly pointed out where the SATA device is switched to.
- 7. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the ACPI S state". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 8. Claims 5 and 6 recite the limitation "the SATA". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the SATA device". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

10. Claims 7, 8 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: the citation "a serial Advanced Technology Attachment (SATA)" does not have a clear meaning. For further examination, the examiner considers SATA is SATA device. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/752,263 Page 4

Art Unit: 2185

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 12. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs et al. [Jacobs] (U.S. Patent No. 6,266,714).
- 13. Regarding claim 1, Jacobs teaches a method for operating an ATA device (CD drive) comprising the steps of:

determining a first system Advanced Configuration and Power Interface Specification (ACPI) state ("on" or "off" state) [col. 4, lines 24-29]; and

switching an Advanced Technology Attachment (ATA) device based upon the ACPI state (disable or enable) [col. 4, lines 24-29].

Jacobs does not explicitly teach the ATA device is a serial ATA device. One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that the teaching of Jacobs obviously applicable to any type of ATA device, parallel or serial ATA device.

- 14. Regarding claim 2, Jacobs teaches the ACPI states are S0 (on) and S5 (off).
- 15. Regarding claims 3 and 4, Jacobs teaches the switching is between the first system (main POST) and a subsystem (mini POST) [col. 4, line 29 et seq.]
- 16. Regarding claims 5 and 6, Jacobs teaches the ATA device is switched to the first system if the ACPI state is S0 [col. 4, lines 38-55], and the ATA device is switched to the subsystem if the ACPI state is S5 [col. 4, line 56 et seq.]
- 17. Regarding claims 7 and 8, Jacobs teaches the claimed method steps. Therefore, Jacobs teaches the instructions for carrying out the claimed method steps.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,263 Page 5

Art Unit: 2185

18. Regarding claims 9-15, Jacobs teaches the claimed method steps. Therefore, Jacobs

teaches the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.

Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292

or via e-mail, thuan.du@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday:

9:00 am - 5:30 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 2327

Arlington, VA 22202.

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202

Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Thuan N. Du October 17, 2003

Dennis M. Butler

Donnis M. Buller

Primary Examiner